

## FOLLOW-UP TO EVENTS & MEETINGS 2011

Concerning the letter recently sent out titled, Events and Meetings, 2011 we say now to our brethren. We are exceedingly sorry for any hurt feelings or soul distress caused by that letter. However, just as we were around six months in the preparation of that letter for mailing even so we were around six months in prayer concerning the impact and repercussions which we knew would be produced by that piece. As the time of the completion and the release of that piece drew near, (and feeling our powerlessness to stop the process) you have no idea the seriousness of the terms upon which we dealt with the Master concerning this matter.

Again we say, we are very sorry about any suffering caused by any of our writings.

Some of you carnal minds could probably only imagine that we (by the former letter) intended to alienate the minds of the people from a certain Pastor. We now say to you, O sucklings of Corinth, that if this Pastors people should begin to align themselves with us we very likely would immediately call for a Pastor appreciation day and if that didn't work we would probably have to start going around spitting on people in order to reverse the process. We have near indestructible confidence in this man as touching both present grace, and also eternal election, see 1Cor.3:23 & 1Thess.1:4.

This we also say. The carnal in spirit will almost always puff up when told that they are carnal, for carnality by its very nature is self-blind. It cannot and will not ever acknowledge its own existence. The spiritual man if told that he is carnal, will probably say; thank you, I needed that, see Pro.:17:10. We say also that when the Lord stated that praise is perfected out of the mouths of "sucklings" he was not commending carnality.

We have to stand alone in the thing that we are doing in order to accomplish the purpose which we have in mind. We are not trying make disciples. We are not trying to start a cult for R. Corn. We can see that (in regards to that) there is nothing to worry about as long as we follow a pattern of (impartial) reproof, without fear or favor. We can also see that it strongly appears that the Master (in his sovereign wisdom) has given us a personality which (of and within itself) safeguards us against any such eventuality. What are we trying to do? We are simply trying to rattle the cage in such a way as to make folks think, and we can see that the plan is working.

We straitly command in the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, that the following triple parenthesized statement, be set before all the young men and women married or not. (((The virtue of wifely submission to the husband, is the most high and holy virtue a married Christian woman can practice, see 1Pet.3:6... If the young sisters want their husbands to love them, then let them submit themselves to them "in everything" as saith the Scripture. If sweet submission doesn't bring it out of him, then he has a heart of stone and she made a drastic mistake by marrying him. Such mistakes do not justify divorce. There is, never has been, and never will be any justification for divorce.))) While speaking on this subject we also say, on the absolute authority of the Holy Scriptures. When the Lord God bonds a man and woman together in sacred matrimony, the rights, privileges and duties of reconciliation remain upon such as long as they "both shall live" without regard to any circumstances which may ensue. Until one of them deceases the will of God will (immutably) be reconciliation. Neither divorce nor subsequent marriages anull the divinely executed unionization of the first two, nor void the duties and privilege of reconciliation.

We have come to the understanding over the years that those who oppose us (in our honest and sincere efforts) do so uncontrollably, which understanding can greatly aid the work of forbearance and forgiveness. We will here give a definitive statement concerning this matter. We say that every action, and even every thought of man is prompted or motivated by #1.our (involuntary) natural appetites, weaknesses and aversions #2.circumstantial stimuli or negativity, and #3.the Spirits of good and/or evil which work upon and/or intensify these. Separated from all these interacting determinants, man, (we say) has no will, but stands passive, like a dead tree on a still day. Thus it is, that "the will of man" both consists, and acts by reason of these undeniable factors. Therefore also, it truly "is God that worketh in you both to will and to do of his good pleasure". None of us are in control of our decisions as we naturally imagine ourselves to be, and to no field of thought is 11Cor.10:5 more relevant. Also, we say, that human will (unlike the Divine) is not self-generating and certainly not self-perpetuating. Why then do the Scriptures demand it? They demand it in order to create the occasion for grace to do its manifold work. We may eventually write up a piece under the title; FREE WILL POWER; THE MOTHER OF ALL IMAGINATIONS.

Mr. Albert Einstein in his earthly wisdom, yet had insight into this matter which he expressed thusly; He said something to the effect that, "the human trajectory is just as causally fixed as are the stars in their orbits." We say however, that Mr. Einstein very likely knew nothing at all about the sovereign transforming power of God.

If we're not careful we will have sapped all the compassion out of our own hearts, by the foolish and extravagant emphasis we place upon free-will power, as we look on the brother who's failing and say; "it aint nobodies fault but yours". We ourselves suppose that the devils must gleefully dance about and slap one another on the back when the saints of God throw such fiery darts at the down-hearted among their number, and how much worse it is when it's the fallen brother or sister. It sometimes seems that we try to circumvent the lack of conviction in our preaching by a technique which could be called, *killing the flesh with the flesh*. The Scriptures teach us to use meekness and gentleness "to all men". However be assured of this dear friends, we certainly are not advocating softness where it concerns our dealings with the devastating tools of Satan such as television and the internet. As it touches the internet we say this. That old serpent, the subtil master of deceit is now implementing his long desired goal of setting televisions in Holiness homes... Over>>>

EVENTS 2011 FOLLOW-UP

We are rapidly approaching the time in some of our churches when those who play accoustic instruments had might as well leave them at home, for they will not be able to hear their own instrument, neither will anybody else be able to hear it. I myself am a loud singer, and when it reaches the point where I can hardly hear myself I then begin to feel a loss of interest in the service. I realize that there may need to be some amplification of the worship in order to keep me from overriding the other singers and musicians.

We were recently in a youth service where the volumn of the music and singing, (coupled with the rocky structure of the song) killed the Spirit for me personally at that particular time of the service. In the other parts of the service the Spirit was free, especially the altar service where the good Holy Ghost was unmistakably recognizable to those who know him.

I was once in a service where the sound equipment was so loud that I personally witnessed a little boy, probably about four years old, walking around crying with his hands over his ears. What would Jesus say about that? Even more awful is the fact that in some of these services there are little babies, even new-borns. Can you concieve the hot displeasure folks would incur by this sort of thing if we were not under grace? These are the kind of things which transpire whenever folks are sleeping "the sleep of death".

Although we may not yet have gone that far, we're headed that direction in a hurry. We need some of the old-timers like Bro. P. L. Pulliam around in this hour to straighten us up. We once attended a youth camp where one of the elders confided to us privately, that he didn't like the loud worldly sound of the service. Even though he didn't say so, it was evident that he just didn't have the gumption to stand up against it. We also say this; Whenever the love of this present world has ever once invaded (or re-invaded) the hearts of the people, then nothing short of divine intervention will ever stop or reverse the process.

Professional gospel groups of the present day sometimes emulate rock groups in the musical crescendo they create at the end of some of their songs. Most folks will not have the slightest idea as to what this ending musical crescendo is intended to signify, as it is created by the wicked rock and roll bands, (██████████). We were immersed in rock music up to our ears before our conversion and thus have knowledge of some things which we would have been better off without. However, seeing as the church of this present day is taking on worldly water at such an alarming rate, especially in an affection for electrified music and singing, we find it needful to use "great plainness of speech." If any think we don't know what we're talking about, we could name the rock singer/musician (and the name of the album and the song) that most explicitly and unmistakably demonstrated this concept, in one of the most wicked songs that probably ever had a public performance, at least up to that time, the year of this recordings release was 1975. We absolutely would not consider naming the musician, the album, or the song, even though you would not necessarily percieve its innate wickedness thereby.

In the Events 2011 piece we answered an indeterminate number of questions which undoubtedly have been asked throughout the centuries of the N. T. dispensation. We fully realize that some or all of these questions may have been answered by others besides ourselves. This piece also potentially cleared the air of some of the clouds of confusion which overhang the issues of adoption theology and sin theology, and also answered any allegations of the so-called Baptists, wherein they might claim (correctly) that we the Wesleyanistic Holiness people will not deal thoroughly and realistically with all of the Scriptures which touch on sin.

We would like for somebody to provide us with a clear assessment of the way in which Wesleyanism divides between the Scriptures which deal with "the inward man" and those which deal with "the outward man". It appears to us that it makes no distinction between them, but rather attempts to make all the said Scriptures to deal with the two-fold man as a single harmoniously integrated unit. We could give a good selection of Scriptures right now showing that this is not the case, however we probably gave enough of these Scriptures in the Events piece. The contrast is laid down in 11Cor.4:16 as Paul tells us that "the inward man" may be given a dailey renewing , yet "the outward man" although he be *nourished and cherished* yet continues to perish, that is, to languish under "the law of sin and death". Wesleyanism, (so it seems) blinds it's adherents to self-resident carnality by reason of it's implications to the effect that no carnality can manifest in the spirits of those who are sanctified. Therefore, those who claim (and are) sanctified may believe themselves to be above the potential affectation of their spirits by carnality. This is carnality. We have however, personally witnessed this concept (of the inward and the outward man) taken to far, as some have tried to view the perishing of the outward man as final, which thing would allow the grave a permanent victory. We vigorously opposed this teaching and the man who attempted to initiate this thing among our church people is also gone to his eternal abode.

We stated in our former write-up to the effect that "none of us" live above technical sin. For further clarification we now say this. Omissional sin, see Jas.4:17 is inescapable, that is, other than through the provisional arrangement set forth in Rom.4:8, or by an imaginary and delusional scheme of self-justification, once again, 11Cor.10:5 has a necessary application to this. If we have prayed for an hour we know it would still be good to pray for another hour. If we have prayed for ten hours we know it would still be good to pray for another hour. The only excuse we can offer is, the weakness of the flesh. Jesus (in the garden) did make allowance for the weakness of the flesh, but no excuse could be made for him, he had to buckle down and perform the humanly impossible task of killing "sin in the flesh". And so, weakness is the only excuse we can plead (whenever we have prayed halfway through the night and know it would still be good to pray on through the rest of the night) but this (only) excuse is yet invalidated by the fact that weakness is a consequence of the Adamic fall, in which we are all implicated. We were all in father Adam and mother Eve when they committed the original human sin and we all sinned in them, thereby taking on weakness, both physical and moral. We here quote from a former article, titled SOVEREIGN NON-IMPUTABILITY; JUSTIFICATION BY GRACE, "Although we know that the Lords "strength is made perfect in weakness" we still must acknowledge that weakness itself does not fulfill "the righteousness of God" and also comes far and drastically short of "the glory of God". This natural state of weakness is the form of "evil" of which Paul speaks in Rom.7:21 (it brings the outward man "into captivity" through the aging process until the final and fullest degree of captivity is attained in the state of physical death Rom:7:23, this is the victory of the grave)". In the resurrection of the just however, the grave will make full restitution to the saints of God.

## FOLLOW-UP EVENTS 2011 cont...

The principle of sin-guilt inheritability, (which we touched on in the EVENTS 2011 piece) is shown in Mt.23:29-36 where Jesus charges the religious hierarchy of his day with, "all the righteous blood shed upon the earth". We know also that this law is nullified by those who forsake and turn away from the sins of their forbears, see Jer.31:29 & 30.

Listening to the late Dr. Oliver B. Greene expound in his radio ministry we noted as he declared that God had "made no provision for the Salvation of the flesh". In answer to this assertion we say this. The adoption is the end-point of the New Birth in Gods elect, and it will naturalize (or rather spiritualize) the outward man "the flesh" into the eternal kingdom of God, at "the resurrection of the just". There is nothing (we say) natural in the kingdom of our Lord Jesus Christ. Our outward man (as of yet) still belongs to the order of this present sin-cursed world. When however, we ourselves speak of the Salvation of the flesh, what we have in mind is the leaving behind, (in the resurrection) of the whole system of Adamic appetites, traits, and subjectivities. When Jesus showed himself to his disciples, (in all his post-resurrection appearances) he most necessarily had to convince them of the unbelievable and incomprehensible truth of the resurrection of the body. In spite of the lesson contained in Jn.20:19-29 some, even in our time still cannot grasp this reality. (This stuff about, I'm glad I've been adopted, is a bunch of badger baloney. As long as we are residing on this side of Jordan we can know that we have not received the adoption. If you want to say it right, then this; I'm glad I've "received the spirit of adoption" see Rom.8:15.) Dr. Greene gives us an example of this as he blinks away the very essence of the adoption.

The carnal traits of seclusionism, exclusivism, isolationism and sectism are clearly visible to discerning eyes, among our church people. We know one dear Holiness family in particular, (whom we hold in confidence) who appear to have themselves locked up in sectarian bondage. Such things should be completely foreign to true Christian people. Unless we have a desire to seek out and acquaint ourselves with our true spiritual kindred, then something dear friends, is wrong, and we need not ever attempt to testify as living above omissions sin. Some good Holiness people are like turkles in their shells. They can't fellowship with anybody who's a half a turn looser than they are, for this is compromise, and they can't fellowship with anybody who's a half a turn tighter than they are, for this is pharisaical, and they themselves are the near perfect standard bearer. The problem with many true Christians is that they never learn to love their spiritual family. This touches some of the nearest and dearest of our natural kin. These will eventually fall away unless the Master Sovereignly intervenes, for the thing presumes upon the grace and mercy of God. What we see is fear ruling in the lives of folks who in their Wesleyanistic mindset think they can manifest no carnality. I myself have had to fight fear tooth and nail, and still have yet to totally overcome it. Fear was the prevailing passion of Elijah and in such things he was "as we are", see Jas.5:17.

Fear creates winners only of those who overcome it.

On another line we now say this. We never have and never will (the Lord being our helper) bring anything against the doctrine of the immortality of the soul. Any who attempt to read us out that way, need to look more deeply into the Scriptures which make us to understand, that the Adamic fall fulfilled "the determinate counsel" (the Sovereign will) of God. Before the Lord God ever created man an immortal soul with the potential to sin and thereby to incur eternal separation, he already had (in his total foreknowledge) executed the plan of Salvation, and ordained his elect to eternal life and glory. Therefore we say, that, even as our Lord Jesus gave himself "for the life of the world" in a provisional arrangement, see Jn. 6:51 and other Scriptures, he also, in the same sacrifice, gave himself for the Church in a sealing arrangement, see Acts 20:28 and other Scriptures.

Just because I might pull any particular woman out of a burning house does not necessarily mean (if I were an unmarried man) that I would want her for a bride. If we view eternal soul damnation as the divinely appointed wages of original sin, a case could then be made that Christ came only to save us from eternal suffering, as though, having saved us strictly out of sympathy he consequentially acquired us as his bride.

The fact of the matter is, that the sacrifice of calvary contains the catalyst that creates vessels of wrath, morally fitted to eternal soul damnation of those who ultimately reject the mercy and grace of God through our Lord Christ Jesus.

Also as touching the immortality of the soul and the fate of the rich man of Lk.16, we say this. It is to be definitely understood from 1 Pet.3:19 & 20 that those disobedient souls who perished in the flood (an evident destruction of the flesh) were not all eternally lost. We say also that, the rich man of Lk.16 was evidently a Jew who had "Moses and the prophets;" and therefore he very evidently had rejected "the spirit of Christ which was in them" with its testimony of fore-ordained sufferings.

Father Abraham plainly implies in Lk.16:29 that the message of "Moses and the prophets" carried the power of Soul Salvation, (from hell). We ourselves also say, that any message which carries the power of Soul Salvation, (from hell) also and of necessity carries the power of Soul damnation, (in hell). Therefore we believe that those who died in unbelief of the message of "Moses and the prophets" were lost souls, while those who had not "received the law" had the opportunity to believe the gospel in the ante-diluvian prison, for "sin is not imputed when there is no law" see Rom.5:13.

We now say this. As we diligently seek to rightly divide "the word of truth" we must needs rightly divide between those passages of Scripture which require spiritualization and those that do not. We once knew an elderly minister who, if asked about any particular passage of Scripture, would almost invariably answer, "that has two-fold meanings". What he meant to say was, that it had a literal meaning and a spiritual meaning. While we ourselves may well acknowledge, that many passages do carry a two-fold meaning, we also say that these passages are most desperately susceptible to abuse, for the imagination of man will know no limits in the construction of doctrinal playhouses to put theological flesh on cultic bone structures, let us earnestly look again at 11Cor.10:5. As it concerns, Lk.16:19-31 there would be no telling how many desperate attempts have been made at spiritualization, but we ourselves believe it to be the highest order of folly that we should disallow the intensely explicit literal message contained in this descriptive narrative, regardless of whatever type of parabolic interpretation we might seek to apply. Over>>>

FOLLOW-UP EVENTS 2011 cont...

We also say this. If the sinless Son of God had come into this world and suffered mild thirst for one literal hour of time, as a love sacrifice for lost humanity, we fear that this would have been enough to make "vessels of wrath" morally fitted to eternal soul damnation of all those who would ultimately refuse to reciprocate such love. Beware friend, of dissenting from that which we are here saying, lest you should to any degree denigrate the infinitely transcendent and Holy Majesty of our Lord Jesus Christ. To those who will insist that it is unjust on the part of God the eternal Father, that he should have ordained eternal soul damnation for those who trample underfoot the priceless blood of his only begotten Son, we simply say; we fear for you.

I was recently in a service where a minister stated something like, "there is no such thing as a carnal Christian". This makes a great doctrinal façade for every carnal Christian to hide behind. Knowing this person as I do, I thought, Ole boy, you don't realize that you have just (in effect) excised yourself from the Lords body, if you are in there, for at least one definite trait of carnality is very visible in the mans life and one doesn't have to be in a fault finding spirit to see it. This minister had almost as well rip the first three chapters of 1Cor. out of his Bible, for he certainly has not acknowledged (other than in part) the things that are written therein. Paul wrote the book of 1<sup>st</sup> Cor. "to them that are sanctified" see 1 Cor.1:2 and then in the same chapter charges approx. 3/4 of them with carnality see verse 12.. He then (chap.3;22&23) eternally claims them for Christ and in this apostolic decree he is standing on the divine revelation he had received from the Lord Jesus, see Acts 18;10 wherein he (the Lord) had eternally and electively claimed them for himself, yet hearken diligently to this my friends. We know that in any of the early churches of the size the Corinthian church must have been, there would certainly have been the likes of Ananias, Sapphira, Esau, Judas, and Diotrephes, see 1Cor.chap.5, also chap.6 verse 8 and the inspired Apostolic decree of divine election, seen in chap.3 verses 22&23 passes over these, just as the decree of the Lord Jesus (as touching the twelve) passed over Judas and found Matthias. The Lord knew the fate of Judas "from the beginning" he did not *misspeak* in Mt.19;28.

This which we call Corinthian carnality is that which manifests in the sanctified. Don't tell us its not so, we see it in the Scriptures and we see it in people, and we also of necessity do battle with it (in ourselves) and in others. Wesleyanism seems to imply that carnality can secure no strongholds in the spirits of them who are sanctified.

Our assertion made in the previous letter, that the outward man is, "sold under sin" and so remains after the heart has been sanctified can be established by Rom.8:23 as well as other Scriptures. Quoting from another former article: "We say that the sanctification of the body is effected by the heart, (provided the heart is sanctified) a sanctified heart will sanctify the body, even as the altar of the temple sanctified the gift that was offered upon it. The body is the gift and the heart is the "altar that sanctifieth the gift" see Mt.23:18. See also Rom.12:1 & 1Cor.6:13."

Those who insist that the foundational basics of "Jesus Christ and him crucified" is all the Lords Church needs, need to realize that they are strongly identifying with the carnal church of Corinth by so saying. It is absolutely true that "Jesus Christ and him crucified" and resurrected, is all we need for initial Salvation, but not for full Spiritual development. Even those preachers who say such things advance in a little theology of their own whenever it suits their purpose to do so. "Jesus Christ and him crucified" is the "sincere milk of the word" and although Paul testifies that he (because of their spiritual immaturity) had emphasized that over and above everything else, (in his preaching while he had been physically among them) yet he certainly deals with much more in his two written works, (addressed to them) such as, the Lords supper, the spiritual gifts, the resurrection of the dead, and prophecy of the return transfer of power, from the Son to the eternal Father, which thing must transpire before the second coming can occur. It is true that the carnal Corinthians had not been in shape to receive anything more at the time of the former visit and they still were not at the time of the 1<sup>st</sup> letter, yet Paul gives it to them anyway, even the heavily veiled prophecy recorded in 1Cor.15:24-28. The undeniable implicit of 1Cor.3:1&2 is that he desired (early on) to feed them with meat.

Milk feeding preachers, (those who are failing the spiritual weaning test) cannot deliver to their flock the nourishment that they need to be their utmost for God. Such ministers will say such things as the will of God is just the will of God, as though no distinction exists between the passive will of God and the Sovereign will of God. In the garden of eden, the will of God was absolute abstinence from the fruit of knowledge, but "the determinate counsel and foreknowledge of God" (commonly called the Sovereign will of God) was the fall, which thing is undeniably revealed in the prefiguration of the obedience of Christ in the disobedience of Adam, see Rom.5:14-19.

We recently attended a quarterly (state conference) union of a certain organization (one of the tightest bond-houses of denominational Holiness) where we noted how that the women were allowed to speak out and to vote in the business proceedings. We might have overlooked this if it had not been for that which followed. At the close of the business session, the brethren on the camp meeting board went into a Sunday school room to hold deliberations on selecting a night speaker for the upcoming 2012 camp meeting. Two of the sisters arose from off their seats and accompanied the four brethren into the conference room. We cannot over-emphasize how strongly we disapprove of this arrangement (whether these two sisters are on the board or not) however, be it known unto our readership that we are insinuating absolutely nothing against the social morality of the said arrangement, nor the moral integrity of the persons who entered the conference room. The two women were the wife and daughter of one of the brethren who entered into this closed door meeting. As to whether or not these two sisters are members of the camp meeting committee we don't know, but if they didn't intend to influence the deliberations there would have been no purpose of their entering the conference room. If I had been on the committee I would have resigned in protest and written a letter of protest telling everybody what happened in the quarterly union meeting, especially the part concerning the camp meeting committee meeting. Over>>>>

5

FOLLOW-UP EVENTS 2011 cont...

We did not go to this union with the intent of looking for fault. We did not anticipate witnessing such a disgusting situation. If we should analyse the conduct of these folks the logical conclusion would be that they apparently see themselves as being above the law of God. We will now re-assert a statement from the Events 2011 article. "It appears that we have walked after the example of the world by extending to our women rights which the Bible forbids, namely the previously mentioned voice (and vote) in our church business meetings. It is to our own dishonour that we allow and encourage our good sisters to speak out and vote in our business meetings, thus, presumptuously dispensing with 1Cor.14:34 &35. If the sisters are going to participate in any business meetings, it should be all womens meetings and not those mixed with men". We need some of the old timers like Bro. D. E. Beuchamp around to correct such matters for us.

The organization here concerned has long exercised itself in a judgmental practice (on its conference members) called "the passing of characters". In regards to that we now say, it appears that we ourselves are getting into the business of passing characters, (some of these folks no doubt, have great character, they are just not letting it shine out as they should). Some of you may want to pass upon our character and to that we say, take your liberty dear ones, we are fair game, however for your own welfare you need to bear in mind the fact, that if you do it only because you have been stung by something we have said or written, you will thereby acquire no honour from the Master. Also we say, that this small band of pilgrims, (with all their Corinthian manifestations) we yet claim as our own church family.

In spite of these things we earnestly implore thee dear friends, come to the good old camp meeting. Don't allow such carnal childishness to cause you to diminish your own eternal rewards. We say also that if these folks think we are being too tough on such things they had better be glad they are not dealing with the Apostle Paul, they would probably be delivered unto Satan for the destruction of the flesh.

These two letters have been sent out to around 50 addresses, including that of all those who are exemplified therein. On our mailing list we recognize no state or national lines, and/or no denominational or organizational lines or boundaries, neither does the spiritual body of Christ recognize any such boundaries.

What we have is, a bunch of folks who are continually volunteering themselves to be used as examples, (just as did the children of Israel). Thus we say to all concerned, those who volunteer themselves as examples will probably be used as examples. R. Corn 2012

More Light on Sin, Election, Adoption and AntiChrist theology...

We have never studied a lot on the subject of cultology, but we know enough to be on the look-out for signs of cult formation. As we have already said, it appears that the Master has placed certain safeguards in our situation which would prevent the formation of a cult around us and also as we have already said, so we say again, that if a man consistently follows a pattern of Scriptural rebuke and reproof in his dealings with his audience there will most likely be no cult formation around or behind such a one.

Folks who are caught in cults, read the Scriptures with the intent of interpreting them only within the frame-work which has already been established, and also of convincing themselves more fully of the supposed veracity of the said frame-work. We ourselves are claiming no new revelation. We have received an abundance of intra-Scriptural revelation. We have received no extra-Scriptural revelation. Our so-called new doctrines are derived from Scriptures which (due to theological expediency) are commonly ignored.

Surely however, some have sounded these waters before we ever did.

We stated in a former article to the effect that, those preachers who think they are championing the righteousness of God by their disallowing of Sovereign election and predestination, do not realize that they are actually smearing the righteousness of God as it is to be vindicated in "the election of grace" that is to say, vindicated in his personal dealings with our Lord Jesus Christ concerning a fore-ordained elect to be immutably and eternally saved as a guaranteed and predetermined result of the eternal sacrifice of calvary.

John Wesley steps forward at the head of the pack, and condemns (on the grounds of Sovereign election and predestination) the eternal Sovereign, while manifesting this same illusional concept. The man absolutely should have had more wisdom than to record such a statement, see our piece titled, CLARKE, HENRY, CALVIN, AND OTHERS ON DIVINE SOVEREIGNTY. Quote; "It is cruel respect of persons", it is "an unjust regard for one, and an unjust disregard for another", "it is mere creature partiality and not infinite justice". Any person making such statements had might as well tear out about half of their Bible and throw it away.

Here is a very interesting personal observation of our own. Those folks who undoubtedly constitute Gods elect, seem to have a natural aversion to the doctrine of Sovereign election, which causes them to cast it away, while those orders that do promote this doctrine, Presbyterians, primitive baptists, and no telling how many others, are evidently (for the most part) not even in the election as they plainly testify by their works and the lives they lead. As we have said before so we say again, the election of grace is determined, not by what we do, (it is evidenced by what we do) but by what *we are*, this is the true lesson laid down in Jacob and Esau. This lesson is also very plainly laid down in the parable of the sower, thus it was that Jacob was good ground, Esau was bad ground, King David was good ground, King Saul was bad ground, the Apostle Peter was good ground, Judas Iscariot was bad ground, Abel was good ground, Cain was bad ground.

One of the plainest examples of carnality recorded in the Scriptures, is the misconception of the Lords Apostles revealed in Jn.21:23, where the Apostles, even though they had accompanied the Lord Jesus throughout his three and one-half year ministry, seen all the mighty deeds and heard all the mighty proclamations of divine law which issued forth from him, still yet misconstrued his words concerning John the beloved. Please don't try to tell us that the Lord jumbled his words or failed to speak plainly. Even so will some of you, carnally wrest some of the things which we have set forth, even though you are inexcusable by reason of the length of time you have been in training. Over>>>

On a somewhat different line of thought we say this. We feel that the highest seats in the millennial kingdom of our Lord Jesus Christ will be reserved for the Lords Martyrs. We here also say; we do not deny the concept of spiritual martyrdom, (all true Christians have, in a spiritual sense, lost their lives for Christ) however, Mk. 10:28-30 seems to indicate that the rewards of spiritual martyrdom are all assigned to the present life in this present world, see Mk. 10:30 and from this we conclude that spiritual martyrdom secures the Salvation of the soul, see Mk; 10:30 last unit, while literal martyrdom counts toward extra-Salvation rewards, the martyrs crown. Jesus called on the rich young ruler for spiritual (self) martyrdom as a condition and/or prerequisite to eternal life, see Mk. 10:17. By the term spiritual martyrdom we mean the unreserved crucifixion of the will of the flesh.

As we endeavor to rightly divide "the word of truth" we must learn to rightly divide between the passages which are to be spiritualized and those which are not. There are some religious orders (or probably many) who seek to spiritualize everything possible for the express purpose, it appears, of wiping out the glaring literal import of those passages under question. We say that the safest course to follow is that taken by the simple minded Holiness people, who take everything written in Scripture as literally as possible, no matter how deeply offensive to the natural man the resulting answer may be.

Here is a very interesting personal observation of our own. Those folks who are undoubtedly the objects of divine election, seem to have a natural aversion to the doctrine of Sovereign election. It is essential that we remember that God the eternal Father is the absolute Sovereign elector. Those whom he elected (through absolute foreknowledge) these he gave to our Lord Jesus Christ, an eternal gift. Nothing can be added to, nor anything taken away, from this gift. Why must we insist along with Adam Clarke, John Wesley and so many others that absolute fore-ordination (through absolute foreknowledge) would constitute an element of unrighteousness in the character of God the eternal Father. This is carnal childishness. Webster defines the word object; 3 That on which one sets his mind as an end; purpose; aim.

Here is a Wesleyanistic statement which has been passed down to us (through the agency of the P. F. B. Holiness Church) as authoritative. Quote... "God exercises a providential care and superintendence over all his creatures, and governs the world in wisdom and mercy. God has endowed man with the power of free-choice, and governs him by moral laws and motives. The power, or ability of mans free choice is the exact measure of his responsibility. All events are present with, or known to, God, but he does not decree all events he knows will occur."

This statement we say, though it certainly carries an element of linguistical English eloquence which we ourself cannot match, is yet one of the most contradictory we have ever seen. We deny in no uncertain terms that man, (since the fall) has any innate power or inherent ability to choose and more especially to perform the thing which pleases God, see Rom. 7:15-25. We say also, that "the exact measure" of mans responsibility is his responsibility in the original human sin, (which thing necessitated the awful sacrifice of calvary) and which thing alone fully merits physical death. However when such reject the blood bought grace of our Lord Jesus Christ, this said responsibility we say, is infinitely compounded to the point to where it fully merits eternal soul damnation.

It is somewhat understandable whenever folks draw back from the doctrine of selective reprobation, (although the Scripture applies it to both Esau and Pharoah), but the problem is that this then leads to a further draw-back from the acknowledgment of divine election to eternal Salvation, which thing will surely have a negative bearing upon the weight of our eternal reward. Those who are inclined to deny that God could be just in the execution of total sovereignty are short-changing themselves of eternal riches which they know nothing of. We now say this... If God foreknew the fall of Lucifer when and before he created him, if he foreknew the fall of Adam when he created him, if he foreknew the fall of Saul whenever he selected him to be the first king of Israel, if he foreknew the deeds of Judas when he selected him to Apostleship, (the Scripture says he did) this then introduces into the equation an element of divine responsibility. This element of divine responsibility is in the minds eye of the Apostle Paul as he pens the totally unacceptable counsel recorded in Rom. 9:21 wherein he assigns to the hand of the divine craftsman the formation of the vessels of reprobation as well as the vessels of mercy. Folks stagger back from this thinking simply because it cannot be fully reconciled with the Scriptures which testify the merciful goodness of God. This is very precisely a most critical area for the application of Jas. 1:5 for divine wisdom alone will suffice us in this matter if we would safe-guard our eternal reward from (an undoubtedly drastic) diminution.

Referring back to the last line of the quotation contained in the fourth paragraph of this page, we also say this; Anything that God the eternal Father foreseen is immutably predetermined, by that which we could call the law of divine foresight infallibility. There is no valid refutation of this reality. If one does not acknowledge divine foresight in totality, concerning and touching all events, they then have a dissenting case against predetermination. However this dissenting case will not stand the heat of a rightly divided Scriptural analysis. We say also, there is nothing truly hard to understand about the immutability of divine foresight/foreknowledge. The problem springs from our disoriented sense of justice as it concerns the righteousness of the divine judgments upon which stand that which Paul calls "the election of grace".

The election is not to be presumed upon therefore the Apostolic counsel in 11Pet. 2:10. However, don't think dear friend, that he didn't understand sovereign election, for he clearly reveals such understanding in 1Pet. 1:2 by his use of the term, "unto obedience". 1Cor. 3:15 also is absolute proof that there will be people "saved" who were not technically perfect, (see preceding paragraph). There is no use of discussing context as it concerns this Scripture, it means exactly what it says. This Scripture clearly shows us that the election is not of "work" (our work is not the foundation of our election) for if it was then the person dealt with in 1Cor. 3:15 would lose their election which thing would entail the loss of the soul... Over>>>

In the early part of 2011 I endured (through no conscious choice of my own) the most bitter trial of my Christian experience. The thing was so bad that I temporarily lost, (was separated from) all religious conviction. The problem of rightly dividing between Salvation and religion, is a problem that is presented to us in the Scriptures, for us to deal with as we endeavor to fully fathom the plan of justification "by grace." As I descended into the depths of this spiritual inferno I totally lost the conviction in my testimony, and in my singing. I was not able to have "the spirit of praise" which I normally have, and the only way I could worship was by shouting and speaking in other tongues, I did plenty of that. If I had no other reason to value the gift of tongues, I certainly would have learned to in that season of soul distress, for this was the only way I could express any vocal liberty and/or verbal conviction while under the worst of this oppression. However throughout that ordeal I never wavered in my souls commitment to the Master, and never experienced any doubt concerning my eternal destiny. Therefore I say this, throughout this terrible crisis Salvation is what kept me, religion is not what done it. Religious fervor has now been recovered.

Somewhat recently we sat under a preacher who, (in his own choice of words) acknowledged that predestination is a Scripturally revealed truth, but then proceeded (almost scornfully so it seemed) to state that, "we don't need to make a doctrine out of it". Our question in relation to this is, if we are not going to base our doctrine on Scripturally revealed truth then what are we going to base it on. The fact of the matter is, that sound doctrine is on its way out and has been for a long time.

There is nothing (thou carnal mind) wrong with the doctrine of sovereign election and predestination, seeing as this treasured knowledge, (of its objects) is sealed up within the Holy Trinity, see 11Tim.2:19 especially the Father and the Son, see Col.2:3. Webster defines the word object as, "That on which one sets his mind as an end; purpose; aim." Can this teaching cause fear? No doubt it can, but this is a spiritual health benefit to the Lords Church as long as its members never begin to entertain thoughts and/or feelings of hopelessness, which thing we say (such hopelessness), is simply a product of the carnal nature in man that loves "darkness rather than light". The Scripture contains the anecdote for such hopelessness in all the passages which guarantee free-access and equal opportunity.

If our memory serves us correctly we heard a preacher say to the effect that, if any could convince him of predestination, (fixed destiny) he would lay down his Bible and quit preaching, if that be the case he must have been self-called. To such thinking we present this challenge. We ourselves, although we do acknowledge predestination (fixed destiny), can yet stand before any congregation and declare the truth of free-access and equal opportunity with conviction.

We have folks among us who are seasoned veterans of the cross, yet they will still (hard-heartedly), insist as they look out upon the lost, "it's left up to them". These folks elected years ago to remain in their spiritual A, B, C's rather than going on into the Lords elective course in Sovereign Salvation. Their philosophizing upon this matter is very much comparable to a woman lying upon the delivery bed and saying, when told she needs to push, "it's left up to it" (the unborn child) or "it's going to have to do something about it". Most of these folks have probably dismissed from their minds long ago that the Lords Church is the birth mother of the children of God... We ourselves can still remember when it used to be fairly common to hear preachers refer to Is.66:8 which was usually misquoted as saying, 'when Zion travails, sons and daughters will be born'. This Scripture is becoming less and less familiar, while the familiar Scriptures are becoming more and more familiar, in these last days when the Church has unquestionably lost its travailing burden for the lost. Having lost the travailing burden of child-birthing its only natural to cover this sad truth up by saying, "its left up to them, their going to have to do something about it". We now say this. Being brought into "the bond of the covenant" see Ez.20:37, involves and entails the Sovereign subjugation of the individuals will through the infinite "wisdom and knowledge" of the all-Sovereign Saviour

The objective of most arminianistically minded doctrinaires seems to be, their utmost deemphasization and trivialization of that priceless spiritual gem, commonly called Divine Sovereignty, in the supreme ethereality of its deep spiritual beauty, which spiritual beauty few (if any) will ever clearly view while yet inhabiting the veil of "the flesh" but which all will realize in great profundity as they look upon the face of him that sitteth upon the throne of his eternal glory, see 1Cor.13:12.

Recently we were in a personal conversation with a certain minister in which we drew out of him a certain acknowledgment. As we were speaking of the infallibility of divine fore-sight this minister conceded, concerning things which God had foreseen; "it can't be any other way". He immediately however seemed to retreat from this acknowledgment of fixed destiny, (that is exactly what it was). A fairly good many Holiness preachers have, (in our hearing) acknowledged predestination according to foreknowledge, seeing as there is really no way around it, but they never go on to acknowledge the inseparability of divine foreknowledge and fore-ordination.

Lately an individual attempted to persuade us that lost folks "can pray" without conviction. To this we say, that many dead prayers are articulated every day, (for example the Pharisee in Lk.18:11) but sincere prayer, (by a lost person) can only come forth from Holy Ghost conviction upon the heart of such persons, (for example the publican in Lk.18:13). In time past we have heard this person strongly affirm the thing even as we do now. What happened we don't altogether know...(WARNING O' ASPIRING CORINTHIANS; Whenever the delicate acknowledgmental sensors are ever once disoriented, it's a matter of serious question as to whether or not they will ever be recovered). However we do here acknowledge, that lost persons who insist, (whenever confronted as to their standing with God) that they can't feel conviction should be exorted very plainly that they need to ditch that old sick excuse and pray for the stirring of God in their life. Are we saying that folks should be told to do what they can't do? Absolutely! For this conforms to the Scriptural pattern. The Lord commands to "repent and believe the gospel" which thing is undeniably impossible without divine grace and Holy Ghost conviction. Most arminianistically minded folks, (whether they acknowledge it or not) try desperately to view Salvation as being by "the will of man"...Over>>>

5

## EVENTS 2011 FOLLOW-UP

On another line of thought we say this. Tell folks that Christmass, Ishtar, St. Valentines day and several other holidays carry the official sanction of the "man of sin" and they will most likely turn you off rather abruptly. Seventeen hundred years more or less of leading souls into perdition (through a world-wide network, and on a universal scale), and we still don't know he's the man of sin? What kind of man of sin are we looking for? There can never be a greater man of sin than the Pontifical Bishop of Rome. By the way, this also reveals carnality. The gap theory (between the 69<sup>th</sup> and the 70<sup>th</sup> week of Daniel), has never stood the test of a rightly divided Scriptural analysis and it will ultimately be proven wrong.

The common expectation is that a man will appear on the world stage, consolidate and centralize world power and authority and enforce a mark upon the world. Its not going to happen friends, the reason being that its already happened and he's still with us today as his own witness. Also, you might as well go ahead and clip Dan.2:42 & Dan.7:26 out of your Bibles for you are profiting by these passages not at all.

Whenever the Pope *lifts up* his staff with the image of Christ crucified at the top of it, this (on the flip side) is symbolic of the temporary Ceasarean (Roman) victory over the Lord Jesus, which sign language seems to signify a permanent victory. We know that the second coming will set that matter straight, see

11Thess.2:8.

We will here side-track into some deeper theology. Prophecy teacher Irvin Baxter, (and no telling how others) symbolize the Roman Catholic Church by the clay in the feet and toes of the great image. This is a theological expedient for those seeking to establish the one hour reign of the ten kings of Rev.17:12 in the toes of the great image, which thing is ill-advisably compatible with the erroneous 70<sup>th</sup> week gap theory. In opposition to this teaching we say, that the clay entered the feet of the great image as England morphed into a constitutional monarchy, to be followed by others including the United States as a constitutional democracy. Therefore we say, that every Old and/or New world nation or kingdom, which by constitution guarantees its citizens the accepted normalities of human freedom and liberty, and especially by the abolition of slavery and by extending to the criminal the process of court trial and appeal, thereby identifies itself in the clay of the iron feet. Therefore we say, that the Roman Catholic Church is not the clay, but contrari-wise is actually the agency through which the strength of the iron upholds the immense cultural weight of the great image in this fifth era signified in "the feet and toes". The "miry" nature of the clay is very visibly coming to the surface in these last days as the nations descend into the extreme degradation of legalizing homosexuality and abortion. Abortion is filling the earth with violence, while homosexuality is also spiritual violence against the law of God and against human conscience.

Therefore we say, that the clay in the feet and toes of the great image materializes in that which is commonly called international law, which thing (international law) is the fruit of the concerted efforts of the said constitutional nations and kingdoms of all the portion of the world which is involved (politically) with the Vatican, which is the political head of the RCC. Below is a piece for consideration.

THE FOURTH GENTILE WORLD JUDGMENT FORCE

The span of centralized world rule (a time and times and the dividing of time) by the little horn of Dan.7:8,25 immutably must fall within the solid iron legs of the great image of Dan.2:31-35

THE FINAL GENTILE WORLD JUDGMENT FORCE

Veins of clay break out in the feet and then bond together into a final gentile world judgment force (into which extends tentacles of the iron) as time passes on toward and into the toes. This is the appearing and then the uniting of the constitutional nations and kingdoms toward the purpose of world peace and security and under one general system of world law, the United Nations charter. Other subordinate systems of authority such as NATO (North Atlantic Treaty Organization) headed up into the U.N. through the security seat of the United States, must be subject to the decisions of the permanent five member council. This whole system of Gentile administration composes the judgment forces in the clay which consumes and destroys the dominion of the iron (Roman Catholic Church) unto the end.

But, as there are Catholic nations who are charter members of the U.N. and the vatican itself is recognized thereby as a sovereign state the Bible has declared that there shall be in it (the clay) of the strength of the iron and so it is and will be unto the end.

R. Corn